

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,435	09/17/2004 7590 02/07/2006		Konstandinos Zamfes		5434
37129				EXAMINER  AMARI, ALESSANDRO V	
KONSTAN					
1830 - 10TH AVE S.W. CALGARY, AB T3C-OJ8				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA				2872	
				DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			'					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/711,435	ZAMFES, KONSTANDINOS						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_					
	Alessandro V. Amari	2872						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will. By stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will. By stated and the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will. By stated and the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will be	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2004		objected to by the Examiner						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• •						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	l 19(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			ļ					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties from the letter of the properties of the propertie		eceived in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li		popiyod						
oco the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	ceived.						
Attachment(s)	🗂							
) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Discrete Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/2004.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Specification

 The specification does not follow the correct format for section headings and the order of the headings and the content in regard to the section headings appears incorrect. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use. Correction is required.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Art Unit: 2872

#### Content of Specification

(a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.

Page 3

- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
  The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
  - Or alternatively, Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are

Art Unit: 2872

solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Page 4

- g) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (j) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (k) <u>Abstract of the Disclosure</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if

Art Unit: 2872

an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

Page 5

(I) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

#### Claim Objections

2. The claims are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses.

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

3. The claims are objected to because the lines are crowded too closely together, making reading difficult. Substitute claims with lines one and one-half or double spaced on good quality paper are required. See 37 CFR 1.52(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,435 Page 6

Art Unit: 2872

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujino US 6,337,766.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Fujino teaches a process of horizontally focusing on a visual point in a sample and analyzing a sample in a vertical position as shown in Figures 6 and 7 and as described in column 2, lines 7-33 as understood by the current claim limitations.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welsh US 4,856,742 in view of Nomura et al US 5,732,912.

In regard to claim 1, Welsh teaches (see Figure 1) an apparatus or device to attach a binocular microscope (M) to a support member.

However, Welsh does not teach that the device has a vertical sliding bar; a bushing rotating in a horizontal plane and a horizontal rotating bar with a horizontal sliding bushing.

In regard to claim 1, Nomura et al teaches (see Figures 1-5) a device that comprises a vertical sliding bar (11); a bushing (21) rotating in a horizontal plane and a horizontal rotating bar (31) with a horizontal sliding bushing (41) as understood by the current claim limitations.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the stand of Nomura for the microscope apparatus of Welsh in order to provide for superior operability (i.e., changing position of the microscope easily) and being able to fix and secure the microscope for observing samples.

10. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hool et al US 6,770,244 in view of Schehr US 5,163,649.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Hool et al teaches (see Figures 1, 2) a device for vertically holding a test tube (14) consisting of a holding test tube plate (12), a vertical guide hole (42) with a friction holding rubber ring as described in column 2, lines 47-57; and a holding groove (44).

However, regarding claims 2 and 3, Hool et al does not teach vertical positioning of the test plate with a vertical bushing attached to the plate, and a vertical movement handle for moving the plate up and down in front of the viewpoint of the microscope.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Schehr teaches (see Figure 1-4) vertical positioning of the test plate with a sliding vertical bushing (20) attached to the plate, and a vertical movement handle (32) for moving the plate up and down in front of the viewpoint of the microscope as interpreted by the current claim limitations.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the vertical positioning plate of Schehr for the test tube holder of Hool et al in order to provide for a multi-axis positionable gimbal which can position the test tubes at any desirable angle for inspection.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Heller US 3,762,796 and Pugh et al US 4,515,333 teach an adjustable support for a microscope.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alessandro V. Amari whose telephone number is (571) 272-2306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ava(IV4 02 February 2006

Alessandro Amari Examiner AU2872

Page 9